# Iowa Nonpoint Source Program 2013 Annual Progress Report October 1, 2012 – September 30, 2013

**GOAL 1 WATERSHED COLLABORATION:** Build partnerships to enhance a collaborative watershed approach to nonpoint source water pollution.

**Objective 1.1:** Strengthen and expand agency collaboration. (Lead Agencies – DNR, DSC, CDI, ISU, NRCS)

Action Steps / Implementation Strategies	Comp. Date	Desired Outcomes / Success Indicators
1. Communicate progress of implementation to the Water Resources Coordinating Council (WRCC) & Watershed Planning Advisory Council (WPAC).		Ability to report on priorities and progress.

## **Progress or Accomplishments:**

The Iowa Nonpoint Source Management Plan that can be found on line at <a href="http://www.iowadnr.gov/Environment/WaterQuality/WatershedImprovement/WatershedPlanning/NonpointSourcePlan.aspx">http://www.iowadnr.gov/Environment/WaterQuality/WatershedImprovement/WatershedPlanning/NonpointSourcePlan.aspx</a> was presented by Allen Bonini of DNR Watershed Improvement to the WRCC and WPAC on July 26, 2013. Future updates will be provided at least annually. The Iowa Nutrient Reduction Strategy (developed by DNR, IDALS and ISU) has also been discussed at all of the WRCC and WPAC meetings in 2013. The Iowa Nutrient Reduction Strategy can be found on-line at <a href="mailto:nutrientstrategy@iastate.edu">nutrientstrategy@iastate.edu</a>.

2. Implement activities and initiatives based on	Ongoing	Demonstration of a strengthened and
the priorities.		expanded collaboration.
Progress or Accomplishments:		

In 2013, many activities and initiatives prioritized in the NPSMP were begun to be implemented.

Updates have been provided on current watershed projects with approved watershed management plans and updates have been provided by DNR, IDALS and ISU on activities with the Iowa Nutrient Reduction Strategy. This report will be provided when complete to both the WRCC and WPAC. 9 priority HUC 8 watersheds were identified by the WRCC for implementation of the new Water Quality Initiative. Money was made available state-wide to plant cover crops, add nitrogen inhibitor to fall applied anhydrous, and not/till and strip/till. There were 17 applications submitted in the 9 priority HUC 8 watersheds to demonstration nutrient reduction efforts. It is our intent that the priority funded watersheds will be operational by January 1, 2014.

Objective 1.2: Organize Soil and Water Conservation Districts (SWCDs) to cooperate within watershed boundaries. (Lead Agencies – CDI, DSC)

Action Steps / Implementation Strategies	Comp.	Desired Outcomes / Success Indicators			
	Date				
1. Incorporate action steps into SWCD 5 year	On-	Longevity goal, commitment.			
plan and make public via IDALS website.	going				
Progress or Accomplishments:					
watershed boundaries is part of the CDI "DO-IT" development of a portion of CDI's website to Proposal as well.	•				
<ol> <li>Joint commissioner and stakeholder meeting visionary session.</li> <li>Foster understanding and knowledge of watershed issues, encourage partnership activity, identify leadership.</li> </ol>					
Progress or Accomplishments:					
Joint commissioner and stakeholder visionary sessions was developed as part of the DO-IT Project in					
2013, and will be part of the development, tes	ting and p	romotion of the toolboxes developed in the			
DO-IT Project Proposal.					

2015

3. Develop a watershed map on display in

every SWCD office, use in public and in

publications, events.

**Progress or Accomplishments:** 

watershed.

Facilitate citizenry gaining knowledge of

watersheds and that everyone lives in a

In 2013, watershed maps were on display in SWCDs with 319 watershed projects.					
In the year 2014, CDI will initiate a push to develop a watershed maps for display in every SWCD office					
and will encourage the use of the watershed ma	p in outre	ach and education efforts			
4. Communicate available science and needed	On-	Local districts have sound information to			
information to make informed decisions.	going	make decisions.			
Progress or Accomplishments:					
CDI provides information to commissioners thro	ough bulle	tins, webinars, meetings and other outreach			
and education efforts. In the last year, informa	ation abou	it watersheds and nonpoint source pollution			
has been part of those efforts.	For ed	lucational information in 2013, see:			
http://cdiowa.org/conservation-districts-of-iowa	a/program	S			
5. Host legislative/elected official tours, field	On-	Informed elected officials, Legislative			
days.	days. going packet handout.				
Progress or Accomplishments:					
In late 2012, CDI & IDALS-DSC provided distric	cts and co	ommissioners with template documents and			
instructions for hosting a legislative/elected of	ficial even	t in 2013. Those tools will be provided and			
promoted to districts & commissioners again in 2	2014.				
In 2014, NRCS, IDALS-DSC & CDI will provide fun	ding to te	n districts to conduct Soil Health Days. CDI &			
NRCS will be creating a toolbox with templates	s and insti	ructions for conducting this activity to share			
with these Districts and will make available to al	l commissi	ioners and districts.			
The CDI "DO-IT Project" proposal to inform SV	VCDs inclu	udes toolboxes for developing strategies for			
community engagement through outreach and	education	and for conducting legislative outreach and			
education.					
6. Involve media by inviting local media to	On-	Positive press, Informed citizenry, Progress			
watershed events.	going	reports.			
Progress or Accomplishments:					
The DO-IT Project Proposal includes a toolbox fo	r engaging	g the media.			
7. Plan and provide for volunteer recognition	On-	Plan to get information and updates,			
activities and networking events.	going	progress reports.			
Progress or Accomplishments:					

#### **Progress or Accomplishments:**

CDI acknowledges volunteers throughout the state annually at the CDI Annual Conference. For information on volunteer recognition in the 2013 CDI conference: <a href="http://cdiowa.org/conservation-districts-of-iowa/programs/commissioner-development/annual-conference">http://cdiowa.org/conservation-districts-of-iowa/programs/commissioner-development/annual-conference</a>. Those recognized include commissioners, farmers, teachers, watershed projects and others who contribute to soil conservation, clean water and watershed projects. Conducting volunteer recognition will be a tool in the toolbox for

developing strategies for community engagement through outreach and education.				
8. Develop local watershed websites.	On-	Websites developed.		
	going			
Progress or Accomplishments:				
Several local watershed projects developed websites, provided updates, or maintained websites or social media sites in 2013. Website development will be a tool in the toolbox for developing strategies for community engagement through outreach and education.				
9. Involve students in local watershed 2017 The number of students involved on an activities.				
Progress or Accomplishments:  Toolboxes to identify and work with partners and recruit new commissioners will recommend student involvement.				

**Objective 1.3**: Develop local comprehensive visions and action plans for nonpoint source water quality within the HUC-12 watershed. (Lead Agencies – DNR, DSC, CDI)

Action Steps / Implementation Strategies	Comp.	Desired Outcomes / Success Indicators		
	Date			
1. State and federal agencies should provide	2013	Determine priority watersheds. I.e.:		
watershed education, guidance, tech support		designated uses, highly used, trigger		
to local stakeholders.		events, multiple use, improved waters.		
Progress or Accomplishments:				
CDI and the commissioners advised state and	federal ag	encies in 2013 on the watershed education,		
guidance and tech support they provide and sho	uld provid	le to SWCDs and all Iowans		
2. Empower Commissioners with training,	2014	Commissioner involvement and leadership,		
water quality knowledge (SWCDs).		locally led project.		
Progress or Accomplishments:				
CDI provided information in 2013 to commission	oners thro	ugh bulletins, webinars, meetings and other		
outreach and education efforts. In the last year,	training a	and information about water quality has been		
part of those efforts.				
3. Identify local support, individuals and	2015	Locals lead, spearhead project, Identified		
groups (in addition to SWCDs).		local leaders.		
Progress or Accomplishments:				
The DO-IT Project Proposal includes a toolbox for identifying and working with partners.				

4. Continue to fund development and	On-	Watershed assessment and watershed
planning grant processes.	going	plans.
Progress or Accomplishments		
CDI does not fund development and planning g	rant proce	esses but encourages DNR & DSC to continue
to provide this support to the SWCDs. Tools fo	•	<del>-</del>
Proposal.	J	, ,
5. Form watershed steering committee.	2014	At least one commissioner on each
G		watershed steering committee.
Progress or Accomplishments:	1	<b>6</b>
Forming a watershed steering committee will I	he a recor	nmendation in the tools provided to SWCDs
with the DO-IT Project.	oc a reco.	innertaction in the tools provided to 511-625
6. Dedicate funding.	On-	Sufficient funds to accomplish
o. Dedicate fullding.		•
	going	environmental goal, long term funding—
		hard dollars.
Progress or Accomplishments:		
CDI lobbies on the state and federal level for d	edicated f	unding to soil conservation, clean water and
watershed projects. New funding for watershe	ed work ir	lowa was made available through the DNR
SRF Sponsored Projects Program in 2013.		
7. Dedicate staffing for each watershed	On-	Establish and maintain at a minimum 0.5
project.	going	FTE's per watershed.
Progress or Accomplishments:		
CDI does not have staff to dedicate, but nearl	y all wate	rshed projects in 2013 had at least a .5 FTE
assigned to the watershed.		

**Objective 1.4:** Implement Smart planning principles, as provided by Code of Iowa at watershed level.(Lead Agencies – DNR, DSC, ISU)

Action Steps / Implementation Strategies	Comp.	Desired Outcomes / Su	ccess
	Date	Indicators	
1. Develop and deliver a pilot educational program in one or more of the six major river basins or three river regions that informs communities about how NPS pollution can be reduced by utilizing Smart Planning principles and comprehensive planning elements in planning, zoning and resource management decision-making.	2014	Greater rate of adoption sustainable storm management practices communities.	n of water in

#### **Progress or Accomplishments:**

DNR contracted with the Iowa Storm Water Education Partnership (ISWEP) at the Iowa Association of Municipal Utilities to develop and deliver a watershed planning for communities workshop titled "A Watershed Approach to Community Planning" this past year. The workshop was held in three separate locations, one of which was in the Spring of 2013 in the Cedar River Basin (Mason City, Iowa). (The other two workshops were held in FFY14.) More information on the workshop can be found at: <a href="http://www.iowastormwater.org/index.php?option=com\_content&view=article&id=202&ltemid=246">http://www.iowastormwater.org/index.php?option=com\_content&view=article&id=202&ltemid=246</a>

In addition ISWEP developed and maintains a toolbox of resources to help communities and others utilize these tools in their future land use planning processes. The toolbox can be accessed at:

http://www.iowastormwater.org/index.php?option=com\_content&view=article&id=116%3Awatershe\_d-based-land-use-planning&catid=40%3Ams4-cat&Itemid=246

2. Provide f	inancial	incentives	to encourage	multi-	On-	City and county comprehensive
jurisdictional	Smart	Planning	emphasizing	NPS	going	plans incorporate smart planning
reduction.						principles that address the impacts
						of land use decisions on water
						quality at the watershed scale (HUC
						12 minimum).

#### **Progress or Accomplishments:**

DNR initiated a Request for Proposal in the Summer of 2013 soliciting interest from new or existing Watershed Management Authorities in need of funds to initiate, continue or complete the

development of their Watershed Management Plans utilizing Smart Planning Principles. As a result of this RFP, funds were awarded to three WMA's (two existing – Turkey River and Indian Creek; and one new WMA – English River) to assist them in their planning processes. These planning efforts will be conducted over the next 12 to 18 months. In addition, Iowa Economic Development Authority (IEDA), utilizing supplemental HUD funding provided to Iowa as a result of the 2008 floods, provided planning funds for three additional WMA's (Upper Cedar River, Squaw Creek, and 4 Mile Creek) to begin their watershed planning processes utilizing the Smart Planning principles. As a result of these efforts there are at least 10 WMA's that have been created in Iowa in the last two years and there is active planning going on in nine.

3. Take steps to promote the implementation of	On-	Measurable reduction in NPS
Smart Planning Principles:	going	pollution in
a. Incentivize storm water management systems		communities/watersheds where
(site- and community-scale) that not only mitigate	2023	pilot programs are initiated.
potential flooding, but also mitigate NPS pollution		
b. Expand the outreach efforts of Iowa Stormwater	2015	
Education Program to reach non-MS4		
communities and other watershed organizations.		

## **Progress or Accomplishments:**

Progress or Accomplishments: As noted in Action Step 1, above, ISWEP was contracted with to develop and deliver a workshop (offered at 3 different locations/dates) to promote these principles to local community land use and P&Z officials, and developed a toolbox of resources to help communities adopt these strategies. These workshops were available to local community officials regardless of community size. Over 150 people attended the three workshops.

4. Eı	ncourage rural-urban	collaboratives to	address	On-	Deliver in one r	river basin	or	river
agric	ultural and natural res	ource preservation	, with an	going	region per year.			
empl	nasis on NPS pollution	reduction.						

## **Progress or Accomplishments:**

Progress or Accomplishments: In the past two years there have been at least 10 WMAs formed in Iowa, with reports of an additional 2 in the process of being formed. In accordance with Iowa Code, all WMA's must include soliciting interest in participating from all cities, counties and soil and water conservation districts within the defined watershed area for the proposed WMA. In all cases, the

existing WMAs include multiple of these urban and rural jurisdictions in their organizational membership. DNR and the lowa NPS Partnership continue to support and encourage further development of these urban/rural collaborative watershed authorities to further advance reductions in NPS pollutants.

Objective 1.5: Increase coordination between public and private entities to better leverage existing funding. (Lead Agencies – DSC, DNR)

Action Steps / Implementation Strategies	Comp. Date	Desired Outcomes / Success Indicators
1 .Identify Points of Contact at statewide level	2013	Communicated and coordinated use of
for public and private entities to develop a		resources to address Water Quality
mechanism for delivery.		concerns.

## **Progress or Accomplishments:**

The Regional Basin Coordinators have been identified using coverage maps and contact information on the Iowa DNR and IDALS websites, including e-mail and telephone contact information. These coordinators furnish quarterly reports which indicate that at least 150 contacts with public and private partners were completed during the program year. DNR and IDALS-DSC coordinated use of resources with state-level project management staff through four quarterly in-person meetings in 2012 and in 2013 to address water quality needs.

2.	Regio	nal	Basin	Coor	dinato	rs	identify	2013 /	Targeted prioritization; More effective and
pa	rtners	and	develo	р а	plan	to	initiate	ongoing	efficient use of resources.
со	mmuni	catio	n plan.						

## **Progress or Accomplishments:**

The RBCs identified partners in priority areas that included nine-element watershed plan areas, the three NWQI watersheds, and through targeting of priority watersheds through the state's 2013 Water Quality Initiative, in which nine HUC-8s were established as priority targeted areas. Targeted funding for water quality demonstration projects in these areas has been obligated by DSC and its partners in the Water Quality Initiative. Within those priority areas, RBCs identified project partners and assisted in development of consortia which will carry out the demonstration projects.

3. Project Coordinators assist RBC to identify	2013 /	Watershed Management Plan developed,
local community partners.	ongoing	Identified local community partners.

#### **Progress or Accomplishments:**

Project coordinators communicated regularly with RBCs and with local community partners on an ongoing basis in 2013 to implement water quality plans and projects. During the year, 2 new nine-element plans were completed and one plan was updated. Additionally, Project Coordinators met twice with RBCs and agency staff during the year, once at the statewide level and once at the regional level, to communicate project progress and issues with current or planned projects.

4. RBC and PC refine message to applicability	Ongoing	Watershed	assessment	and	watershed
of local watershed.		plans.			

#### **Progress or Accomplishments:**

Project coordinators and RBCs worked throughout the year to incorporate priorities established in the Water Quality Initiative into current projects, including active Section 319 projects, Targeted Demonstration projects, and in updates to 40 completed watershed assessments and development of two new assessments. These planning initiatives have been instrumental in establishment of 10 Watershed Management Authorities in the state in the last two years, and two more WMAs were in the process of being developed in 2013.

	5. Esta	blish	а	mecl	hanism	to	facilitate	2015 /	Informed people.
	commur	icatio	n	with	public	and	private	ongoing	
L	entities.								

#### Progress or Accomplishments:

Progress on this action item is underway, as DNR and DSC staff, including RBCs and PCs, have contributed information for the release of the Cleanwaterlowa.org website, which is intended to provide information on statewide water quality programs and initiatives. Over 20 watershed project meetings and field days were also conducted with partners and other interested entities in 2013. DNR Communications also distributed four quarterly watershed newsletters in 2013 to provide updates to public and private partners on current programs. Regional meetings were held statewide with Conservation Districts of Iowa, and monthly meetings with the State Soil Conservation Committee were completed in 2013 to further engage stakeholders.

6. Plans are prepared, reviewed and	2015 /	Plans are accepted. Plans are developed
presented. Include a section to address	ongoing	identifying multiple public and private
identifying all potential funding sources,		potential funding sources. Applicants
including but not limited to public and		increase knowledge of local community by
private.		identifying and approaching potential

		funders.				
Progress or Accomplishments:						
Progress on this action item is ongoing. During address lake quality, watershed improvement streams. Those plans were used by districts a federal water quality cost-share programs.	nts, and re	eduction of nutrient runoff into lakes and				

## Section 319 Program short- and long-term goals, objectives and strategies:

**GOAL 1: WATERSHED COLLABORATION:** Build partnerships to enhance a collaborative watershed approach to nonpoint source water pollution.

	2013 Progress
Objective 1: Expand the basin coordinator network from 4 to 5 within 5 years, and, subject to available funding, expand the basin coordinator network from 5 to 6 within 10 years.	No progress during FFY2013.
Objective 2: Hold quarterly basin coordinator partner meetings to strengthen agency and program collaboration.	During FFY2013 four DNR/IDALS basin coordinator meetings were held: November14, 2012, February 6, 2013, May 16, 2013, and August 20, 2013. Basin Coordinator contact information is maintained on the DNR webpage: <a href="http://www.iowadnr.gov/Environment/WaterQuality/WatershedImprovement/ContactWatershedStaff.aspx">http://www.iowadnr.gov/Environment/WaterQuality/WatershedImprovement/ContactWatershedStaff.aspx</a>
Objective 3: Facilitate the establishment of a minimum of three Watershed Management Authorities in priority impaired watersheds within 5 years.	Four Watershed Management Authorities were established in areas with one or more impaired segments: Indian Creek, English River, Turkey River, and Boone River.
Objective 4: State and federal	State/Federal Partners in 2013 hosted a spring project coordinator meeting following the lowa Water

Watershed Improvement Program, IDALS-DSC, and NRCS) meet with Watershed Project Coordinators at least twice per year to inform and educate them on watershed-related topics.	stories were shared from various watershed project coordinators. The fall project coordinator meeting included one meeting in each of the four basin coordinator areas and included more regional topics and success stories.
Objective 5: State partners meet quarterly each year with each active watershed group funded by Section 319 funds to provide individual technical/administrative assistance to watershed groups.	Iowa DNR Watershed Improvement Staff/ IDALS staff met at least quarterly in 2013 with each section 319 funded project to provide technical/administrative assistance.
Objective 6: Basin coordinators collectively hold 10 outreach meetings annually with prospective watershed groups.	Annual Objective met. Majority of outreach meetings involved the Watershed Management Authority Planning RFA, WIRB, Water Quality Initiative, and SRF Sponsored Projects.
Objective 7: Approve or update a minimum of 10 Watershed Management Plans (EPA-approved 9-element WMPs) within 5 years (2 per year).	Two WMPs were approved the Iowa DNR – Section 319 program (Easter Lake, Silver Creek (Howard in 2013. The total number of DNR-approved WMPs is 24  DNR-approved WMPs are made available on the DNR Watershed Improvement website: <a href="http://www.iowadnr.gov/Environment/WaterQuality/WatershedImprovement/WatershedPlanning/ManagementPlans.aspx">http://www.iowadnr.gov/Environment/WaterQuality/WatershedImprovement/WatershedPlanning/ManagementPlans.aspx</a>

**GOAL 2 EDUCATION / OUTREACH / TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE:** Improve technical assistance, outreach and education to facilitate NPS assessment, planning and implementation.

**Objective 2.1**: Build local / mutual accountability through community-based watershed and other groups to set expectations for conservation behavior. (Lead Agencies – ISU, CDI)

Action Steps / Implementation Strategies	Comp.	Desired Outcomes / Success Indicators
	Date	
1. Set watershed goals at the district level for	2014	District-level strategic plans for soil and water
soil conservation and water quality		quality improvement.
improvement including measures to track		
goals, timelines, and priorities.		
Progress or Accomplishments		
Seven watershed groups set goals to establish	demonsti	ration projects to increase cover crop adoption
in their watersheds in fall of 2013		
2. Plan and incorporate community-based	2014	Each watershed coordinator and one soil and
watershed leadership training into watershed		water conservation district commissioner
coordinator in-service meetings and soil		from each district will be trained using the
commissioner professional development.		community-based watershed improvement
		process.
Progress or Accomplishments		
No progress in FFY2013. In 2014, CDI will w	vork with	ISU to provide community based-watershed
leadership training in the form of a webinar a	nd prom	ote it among the watershed coordinators and
SWCD commissioners.		
3. Connect districts with community-based	2014	Increased local participation and leadership
watershed leaders through district meeting		in watershed projects and conservation
involvement.		efforts.
Progress or Accomplishments		
The DO-IT Project Proposal will provide SWO	CDs with	the tools to connect with community-based
watershed leaders.		

**Objective 2.2:** Implement a "Conservation Central" system to consistently deliver local collaborative public and private technical / financial help across lowa. (Lead Agencies – NRCS, DSC)

Action Strategie	Steps es	/	Implementation	Comp. Date	Desired Outcomes / Success Indicators
1. Identi	fy one gr	oup	to take ownership	2013	Leadership established and primary host and

	1								
of website and one individual to serve as		funding established.							
primary point of contact for the website.									
Progress or Accomplishments									
This action step has, in the view of the NRCS, been overtaken by other events in 2013. The									
cleanwateriowa.org website has been ann	ounced by	Governor Branstad and is being maintained by the							
Department of Agriculture and Land Sto	ewardship.	This website (given its name) could serve the							
"Conservation Central" function, rather the	nan establ	ishing a different site and risking public confusion.							
Using this site and directing AS-4 (2014)	and AS-5 (	(2015) to its development would help cooperating							
		d be desirable to edit the web site to show the							
participation DNR, CDI, ISU and NRCS	. A linked	page to explain the relationship of the Iowa NPS							
Reduction Plan and the Iowa Nutrient Red	uction Stra	ategy would also be helpful.							
2. Identify partnering agency points of	2013	Partner support established.							
contact to support the overall site									
development and provide key									
information to be housed on the									
website.									
Progress or Accomplishments									
The NRCS Public Affairs Officer has agree	ed to provi	ide key information to be housed on the website.							
Other points of contact would include	the DNF	R Information Specialist, the ISU Water Quality							
Coordinator, the CDI Executive Director	and the	IDALS Nutrient Reduction Strategy Coordinator.							
Ongoing information coordination could	be forma	alized through the Water Resources Coordinating							
Council.									
3. Secure website; suggested site name –	2013	Central website established.							
www.iowaconservationcentral.org									
Progress or Accomplishments									
This action step has essentially been co	mpleted (	or looking at it another way, has been rendered							
unnecessary). See AS-1 above.									
4. Develop and populate website	2014	The following is populated on the website;							
information and links.		Mission and vision of watershed efforts, Planning,							
		technical, and financial assistance information,							
		Resource maps, inventories and monitoring							

		reports, Links to partner information, Links from
		partner sites back, Template and archived
		watershed plans. Partners and public are aware
		and utilizing the website.
Progress or Accomplishments		<u> </u>
No progress		
5. Market site availability to	2015	Site is maintained with current information to
conservation partners and public.		assist watershed planning efforts state wide.
Progress or Accomplishments		
No progress		
6. Maintain and update site via	On-	Website is maintained, improved or replaced to
automatic updates through RSS feed and	going	match the need.
contact with partnering agency POCs.		
Progress or Accomplishments		
This action step should be initiated and ma	aintained ι	upon the completion of AS-4.
7. Evaluate and assess the future	2016	Changes are made to site based on usability and
viability of site based on use and cost		cost.
efficiency.		
Progress or Accomplishments		
No progress		

Objective 2.3: Develop a consistent, understandable message about conservation set for delivery by multiple groups. (DNR, DSC, ISU)

Conventional marketing research indicates that only through repeated exposure to a consistent message will someone take action. This objective realizes the diversity and complexity of past efforts and aims to create a collaborative message to effectively reach a wide audience.

	Action Steps / Implementation Strategies		Desired Outcomes / Success Indicators
		Date	
	1. Utilize the lowa Learning Farms' tagline	2013	The basis for the educational component
	"Building A Culture of Conservation." Develop a		to statewide campaign to raise the
list of ten principles or actions that would be			environmental literacy of all lowans,
associated with this campaign and based on soil			including a youth component.
and water conservation BMPs. A core group with			
representation from IDALS, IDNR, NRCS, CDI and			
	ISU would decide these steps. The ten actions will		
	include at least two urban conservation ones.		
			•

## **Progress or Accomplishments**

Efforts were focused on raising the environmental literacy of all lowans with a statewide education campaign focused on the youth. This campaign builds on the principles of "Building a Culture of Conservation" with a special emphasis on water. This program, "Water Rocks!", began with school visits in the spring of 2012 and was fully launched in the fall of 2013 with a multi-media outreach campaign. Since starting this program 117 events have been conducted reaching 11,807 individuals, primarily youth.

	1	
2. Get environmental groups, agencies,	On-	Agency, city and agricultural interest,
municipalities and agricultural interests to	going	agrees to endorse and adopt "Building a
endorse the above statement and action steps.		Culture of Conservation" with meaningful
		steps to it, there will be a consistent
		message throughout the state. Success
		indicators are citizens seeing themselves
		and their behaviors as a part of the
		solution and acting accordingly. Increased
		conservation in our rural and urban
		areas.

## **Progress or Accomplishments**

Iowa Learning Farms efforts in 2013 to build a "Culture of Conservation" involved agricultural groups,

such as Iowa Farm Bureau and Practical Farmers of Iowa, environmental groups, such as the Iowa Environmental Council. A number of Iowa municipalities, such as Cedar Falls, Ankeny, and Davenport, promoted urban conservation in 2013 through education and financial assistance to residents to install rain gardens, bioswales, pervious pavement, and other urban conservation practices.

On-

going

3. Build an infrastructure of support for the Executive Director of the Conservation Districts of Iowa to help build a consistency of conservation message among the SWCD commissioners in the 100 SWCD in Iowa. NRCS, through DCs, will utilize their monthly meetings with SWCD commissioners to raise their environmental literacy. IDALS will take leadership on raising environmental literacy of the SWCD secretaries.

SWCD commissioners are better engaged and informed decision makers in local watersheds. Indicator of success would be higher turnout at monthly, regional and annual meetings. Increase in SWCD visibility in the local watersheds. Increased conservation on land.

## **Progress or Accomplishments**

The CDI Executive Director in 2013 established a new, more professional CDI office in the offices of the Soil and Water Conservation Society in Ankeny, and met with commissioners statewide in 2013 to build support. For more information:

http://cdiowa.org/

4. Iowa State University, through Iowa Learning Farms and Extension and Outreach, will continue to supply all groups, especially SWCD Commissioners, educational and outreach materials based on research and data on conservation BMPs.

Ongoing Strengthened local ability to respond to water quality challenges if the university specialists would create materials that were engaging and understandable to local officials, watershed groups, educators (K-12) and citizens.

## **Progress or Accomplishments**

lowa Learning Farms' 2013 activities supplied outreach to SWCD commissioners through events throughout lowa (see lowa Learning Farms activities webpage: <a href="http://www.extension.iastate.edu/ilf/">http://www.extension.iastate.edu/ilf/</a>

**Objective 2.4:** Develop a visioning process for HUC-8 watersheds in Iowa. (Lead Agency – DNR)

Action Steps / Implementation Strategies	Comp. Date	Desired Outcomes / Success Indicators
1. Determine participants and hold first meeting.	2013	Workgroup established; first meeting held.

#### **Progress or Accomplishments**

The Iowa-Cedar Basin Interagency Coordinating Team (Interagency Team), which is a group of state, local, and federal agencies that have joined together to facilitate watershed-based decision making in the Iowa-Cedar Basin, established a team to develop a Visioning process for stakeholders in WMA watersheds. This work began in FY12 with a smaller-scale Visioning process in a HUC-10 watershed (Indian Creek in Linn County) and has been on-going with plans to scale up to a HUC-8 watershed.

2. Determine how vision will be used,	2013	Goal of visioning process established.
Determine who will use the vision (audience).		

#### **Progress or Accomplishments**

#### Goals of the Visioning process have been established as:

- Convene a diverse group of stakeholders to develop a vision for the watershed that supports ecological, economic, and social priorities
- Map a path forward for stakeholders to improve their local watershed

Create a process that supports a local Watershed Management Authority or other locally-led watershed improvement organizations

3. Research / Identify the following: existing	2013	Top 5 models for Visioning are identified;
models for Visioning; engaging the public;		Checklist of organizations involved in
identifying major players at local, state,		watershed planning is created; Checklist of
federal level who are involved in watershed		data sources (example - NRCS Rapid
planning; existing / relevant data sources.		Watershed Assessment) is created.

## **Progress or Accomplishments**

- Existing models for Visioning: The Interagency Team brought in Stacy Langsdale, a specialist with the US Army Corps of Engineers' Institute for Water Resources, to identify a visioning process. Several models were reviewed, including Vision to Action, Shared Vision Planning, and Collaborative Modeling. In the end the Interagency Team decided to use a Shared Vision Planning approach.
- A Stakeholder Assessment was conducted to identify who should be involved in the visioning process

The Interagency Team also compiled y	arious data	a sources (water quality, hydrologic, climate,			
demographic)					
The Visioning Process was tested in the Indian Creek watershed					
4. Develop Visioning process using	2014	Visioning process developed; Draft			
information gathered in Action Step #3;		guidebook created.			
Organize key issues / chapters; Write "Guide					
for Communities."					
Progress or Accomplishments					
The Interagency Team met to discuss	the lessons	learned from the Indian Creek pilot and to			
identify the next steps for formalizing t	he Visionir	ng Process by replicating it at a broader scale			
in a HUC-8 watershed					
A Stakeholder Report and a Technical Report w	ere develo	ped for the Indian Creek watershed that lays			
out the steps that were taken in the Indian Cree	ek watershe	ed to serve as a preliminary guidebook.			
5. Conduct 3 pilots (east, central, west Iowa);	2015	Pilot Visioning is conducted; Evaluation			
evaluate effectiveness of the visioning		completed; Final version of guidebook is			
process and guidebook; revisions as needed.		rolled out.			
Progress or Accomplishments					
Has not been addressed					
6. Develop prioritized list of HUC-8s for	2016	Prioritized list of HUC-8s is developed;			
implementation; Conduct HUC-8 Visioning in		Three to five HUC-8s undergo Visioning			
lowa.		each year.			
Progress or Accomplishments					
Has not been addressed					
7. Identify HUC-12s with active groups prior	2017	HUC12s are identified and actively engaged			
to kicking off Visioning in each HUC-8;		in HUC-8 Visioning.			
Coordinate Visioning in partnership with					
HUC-8s.					
Progress or Accomplishments					
Has not been addressed					

**Objective 2.5:** Develop and implement a statewide campaign to inform people about water quality issues, motivate involvement, and change behavior. (Lead Agencies – ISU, DNR, NRCS, DSC, CDI)

Action Steps / Implementation Strategies	Comp. Date	Desired Outcomes / Success Indicators		
1. Conduct a survey which would establish a baseline of public understanding and willingness to participate prior to the campaign.	2014	Baseline of public understanding and willingness to participate in improving water quality.		
Progress or Accomplishments ISU Sociologist J. Arbuckle, who conducts Allen Bonini and Steve Hopkins, along wit design of a general public survey. The understanding of water quality issues improvement. The results of the survey	th represon e objecti and att will infor	a Farm and Rural Life Poll, worked with IDNR staff entatives from IDALS, NRCS, and CDI, to initiate the ive of the survey will be to understand public itudes toward actions leading to water quality m activities in objectives 2.5.2 through 2.5.4. The delayed due to budget issues. The survey is now		
2. Tools and training plan developed for agency professionals to provide effective outreach programming to public. Audience survey developed.	2014	Comprehensive toolbox created for staff of agencies to use that will allow for effective and consistent messaging on water quality issues and promotion of commonly used BMPs. Audience survey used to measure effectiveness of presentations.		
Progress or Accomplishments See action step #1.				
3. Mass media campaign developed utilizing free media, social media and display materials for outreach opportunities.	2014	Media monitored for use materials relating to campaign. "Friends" and public response to social media elements measured.		
Progress or Accomplishments Iowa Learning Farms, IDALS, IA DNR, and Iowa NRCS are utilizing various social media outlets to inform people of water quality issues to help change behavior. (See 2013 outreach examples on the above				

agencies' respective websites:

http://www.iowadnr.gov/InsideDNR/SocialMediaPressRoom/NewsReleases/EcoNewsWire.aspx http://www.iowaagriculture.gov/newsAndEvents.asp http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/main/ia/newsroom/features/ 4. Development of webpage with key Webpage hits counted. Survey work can also be 2014 messaging on water quality, nonpoint measured. Webpage hits will also be a source water pollution fundamentals measurement of the success of other components and promotion of the commonly used such as media campaign to determine how BMP's. successful efforts have been to drive traffic to this site. **Progress or Accomplishments** Participated in the new CleanWaterlowa.org websit repared material for website on BMPs. The DO-IT Project Proposal includes the development of a webpage to house and promote the toolboxes and tools. 5. Utilize existing and initiate youth-Survey of teachers and implementers of 2014 related curriculum for schools and other programming to determine the effectiveness of youth programs (i.e. Scouts, 4-H, FFA, programming. etc.). focusing on water quality issues reinforcing the commonly used identified BMPs). **Progress or Accomplishments** Iowa Learning Farm programming for youth at schools and events. In 2013, CDI and the SWCDs engaged teachers and youth in the Conservation Poster Contest, in which students learned about conservation topics and created visual illustrations of the newly found

In 2013, CDI and the SWCDs engaged teachers and youth in the Conservation Poster Contest, in which students learned about conservation topics and created visual illustrations of the newly found knowledge, and the lowa Envirothon, the largest high school environmental competition in North America. CDI promoted and continues to promote, Water Rocks, to the SWCDs. Teachers and youth will be identified as a partner and target audience in the development of the identifying and working with partners and strategies for community engagement through outreach and education toolboxes.

6. Short survey conducted to measure recognition of various components from 2015 Survey will measure public recognition of various campaign components.

the campaign.						
Progress or Accomplishments						
Not initiated – no progress						
7. Final survey replicating the initial survey to measure success of the campaign.	2016	Survey will provide data that can be compared to initial survey, providing quantifiable measurements of how attitudes and willingness to adapt behavior to improve water quality has changed.				
Progress or Accomplishments						
Not initiated – no progress						

**Objective 2.6:** Develop and implement conservation plans to adequately preserve soil productivity and to protect water quality for targeted priority areas. (Lead Agencies – NRCS, DSC)

Action Steps / Implementation Strategies	Comp. Date	Desired Outcomes / Success Indicators
1. Make the NRCS conservation planning modules in	2013	Easier access to conservation planning
Ag Learn available to the public.		training to the public.
Progress or Accomplishments		
Behind Schedule – Technical Service Providers (TSPs)	have acc	ess to the conservation planning modules
in the NRCS AgLearn system.	1	
2. Meet with the targeted groups able to provide	2014	Demonstration to these groups that
conservation planning assistance (e.g. "helpers") to		conservation planning could be a value-
targeted audience. State-level completed by State		added service they could provide to
Office staff; Local level completed by local staff.		their customers and use as a selling
		point to increase market share—
		increase profit.
Progress or Accomplishments		
Not Initiated		
3. Meet with the Iowa Agribusiness Association	2014	Managers at all levels of the
Board of Directors and sell them on the idea that		organization will support the effort to
having their staff at the field operations level (e.g.		dedicate the resources needed to get
individual cooperatives, etc.) being trained and		staff adequately trained, allow time to
preparing conservation plans for their landowner		complete this activity.

customers will sustain their business—sustainable		
farms, environmental awareness, community		
goodwill.		
Progress or Accomplishments		
Not Initiated		
4. Make use of economic models to demonstrate	2014	Tools used by field staff, certified crop
how conservation pays, and therefore, conservation		advisors and retail agronomists in small
planning is a necessary first step to implement		group settings or one-on-one
conservation practices in an efficient and effective		assistance. This tool would be
manner.		specifically helpful to land investment
		owners.
Progress or Accomplishments		
Economic models are being reviewed and evaluated	by staff	at the new Nutrient Reduction Center at
ISU and University of Iowa.		
5. Review and consider ways to facilitate,	On-	Conservation planning can help identify
incentivize participants who use state cost-share	going	priorities within the planning unit.
and other incentive-type programs to prepare a		
comprehensive conservation plan.		
Progress or Accomplishments		
Not Initiated		
6. Expand training opportunities for helpers.	On-	Helpers and the public increase their
	going	skill and knowledge of conservation
		planning and through a variety of
		affordable training opportunities using
		different formats and accessible
		throughout Iowa.
Progress or Accomplishments	•	

#### **Progress or Accomplishments**

Partner employees and members of the public were invited to five Soil Health training events held during FY 2013. Cooperative educational opportunities are also being planned for later in FY2014. Soil Health and Nutrient Management training was also provided to Certified Crop Advisors during an event in August. In addition, NRCS is providing conservation planning training to Technical Service Providers (TSPs) as part of the TSP certification process. NRCS also entered into a memorandum of understanding with the Iowa-based Technical Service Providers Network (TSPN). This MOU includes a provision that NRCS will provide information and support TSPs so that they understand NRCS technical

requirements and are aware of NRCS information res	ources th	at are available to them.

**GOAL 2: EDUCATION / OUTREACH / TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE:** Improve technical assistance, outreach and education to facilitate NPS assessment, planning and implementation.

Section 319 Program short- and long-term goals, objectives and strategies:

	2013 Progress
Objective 1: Prepare and distribute a watershed success stories document annually.	·
Objective 2: Prepare and distribute a quarterly watershed newsletter.	Ongoing –DNR completed and distributed a quarterly newsletter, "Clean Water Starts With Us", to all watershed projects and SWCD partners in 2013. Electronic copies are available on the DNR watershed improvement website: <a href="http://www.iowadnr.gov/Environment/WaterQuality/WatershedImprovement/WatershedNews.aspx">http://www.iowadnr.gov/Environment/WaterQuality/WatershedImprovement/WatershedNews.aspx</a> All watershed projects are encouraged to generate and distribute quarterly newsletters to watershed users/stakeholders.
Objective 3: Provide GIS mapping support to all prospective watershed groups that apply for DNR Planning Grants, IDALS-DSC Development and Planning Grants, and to existing watershed projects.	Iowa DNR Watershed Improvement maintained a dedicated staff member (Andy Asell) who provided GIS mapping support to all prospective watershed groups in 2013.
Objective 4: Provide tools for conducting watershed inventories and assessments (such as, the RASCAL streambank assessment, tablet land use	Iowa DNR Watershed Improvement Section continued to provide all prospective watershed groups the following GIS tools & technical assistance to conduct watershed inventories and assessments: hand-held Trimble GPS units for RASCAL stream assessments, tablet computers with GIS software for

assessment, etc.) to all prospective watershed groups that apply for DNR Planning Grants, IDALS-DSC Watershed Development and Planning Grants, and for existing watershed groups.	land use assessments, and varying levels of GIS guidance & support to local groups conducting urban assessments. During FFY2013, land use and streambank assessments were completed in ten watersheds.
Objective 5: Conduct a statewide survey of lowans' understanding of and attitudes about water quality and watershed improvement at year 1 and year 5 of the NPSMP.	The DNR Watershed Improvement Program worked with J. Arbuckle, ISU Sociologist, to develop a survey methodology and workplan for a statewide survey of and attitudes about water quality and watershed improvement. The year 1 (baseline) survey is to be conducted in 2014.
Objective 6: Encourage the incorporation of a minimum of three water quality questions per year into the Iowa Rural Life Poll.	The DNR Watershed Improvement staff Allen Bonini and Steve Hopkins worked with J. Arbuckle, ISU Sociologist, who conducts the Iowa Farm and Rural Life Poll, to develop at least three water quality questions into the 2014 Iowa Rural Life Poll. The 2013 poll, conducted in February and March of 2013, included questions about climate change, soil health, and soil compaction, which were related to water quality. For a summary of the 2013 Iowa Farm and Rural Life Poll: <a href="http://www.soc.iastate.edu/extension/ifrlp/about.html">http://www.soc.iastate.edu/extension/ifrlp/about.html</a>
Objective 7: Develop a guidebook for communities to facilitate HUC-8 watershed visioning in lowa within 5 years.	A toolbox to help guide communities conduct watershed planning was developed by ISWEP as described in Objective 1.4.
Objective 8: Support education and outreach to women landowners in lowa through a minimum of 4 events per year that target women landowners in existing 319 watersheds and through statewide events.	The Women, Food, and Agriculture Network (WFAN) organized and conducted many meetings throughout lowa and the Midwest to educate and empower women landowners in making decisions on their land. For more information on WFAN, please view wfan.org  Here is a listing of some events this past fiscal year:  10/8/2012
	lowa Learning Farms Field Day lowa Learning Farms, along with Women, Food and Agriculture Network, hosted a field day at Chris

Henning's farm near Jefferson, Iowa. The field day focused on Henning's farm improvement including cover crops and wetlands. Henning talked about what she has done to improve and preserve her land including preserving prairies and installing buffers, wetlands and cover crops. Her approach is a "light hand on the land." In addition, Sarah Carlson with Practical Farmers of Iowa, discussed cover crop varieties, opportunities and benefits to add them into acorn-soybean rotation; ISU Extension and Outreach water quality engineer Matt Helmers presented information on the Science-based Trials of Row-crops Integrated Prairie (STRIPs) project.

20 participants.

#### 10/10/12

Northern Iowa Women Landowners Learning Circle

Iowa Lakeside Laboratory

lowa State University graduate student Angie Carter hosted a "Northern Iowa Women Landowners Learning Circle". This project, funded by the Environmental Protection Agency Wetland Program Development Grant, aims to improve wetland restoration by connecting women agricultural landowners.

25 participants.

#### 10/20/12

Western Iowa Women Landowners Learning Circle, Honey Creek, IA

Women that own or manage land were invited to this learning circle to share ideas and conservation discussions.

10 participants.

## 10/24/12

Cedar River Basin Women Landowners Learning Circle

Women who own or manage farmland in the Cedar Valley region of Iowa were invited to a free conservation discussion. The discussion focused on identifying, establishing, and protecting wetlands. 25 participants.

## 10/31/12

Southern Iowa Women Landowners Learning Circle, Moravia, IA

Women who own or manage farmland in southern lowa were invited to a free conservation discussion on at the Rathbun Fish Hatchery near Moravia, IA. The discussion focused on identifying,

establishing, and protecting wetlands.

20 participants.

#### 11/2/12

Women Caring for the Land Meeting

Women who own or manage farmland in central lowa were invited to a free conservation discussion and field tour at Highview Harvest House near Webster City. This meeting focused on birds that live in grasslands and semi-woodlands, and other soil and water conservation topics.

12 participants.

#### 12/5/12

Women Transitioning the Land Meeting, Charles City, IA

Women landowners were invited to meet with local beginning farmers (both men and women) who were looking for land to lease or purchase. These meetings are intended to let both groups learn from one another what kinds of issues concern them, and how to communicate with one another about transition. A farm transition expert was present to help answer questions. Morning discussion, lunch, afternoon networking, wrap up. These meetings are offered in conjunction with Practical Farmers of lowa, with funding from a USDA Beginning Farmer and Rancher Development grant.

25 participants.

#### 12/14/12

Women Caring for the Land Meeting, Perry IA

Women who own or manage farmland in central lowa were invited to a free conservation discussion and field tour. This meeting focused on maintaining habitat for birds that live in grasslands and semi-woodlands, and other soil and water conservation topics.

18 participants.

## 6/24/13

Women Caring for the Land: Wetland Conservation Field Day

Women who own or manage farmland in western lowa were invited to a free conservation field day. This meeting was one of five to be held in lowa in Spring 2013, for a project called Navigating the Waters, and aimed to develop a guide for agency and non-profit staff serving women landowners on wetlands monitoring and development.

20 participants.

Objective 9: Inform and educate lowans about water quality issues through a minimum of 3 statewide educational efforts (examples include Project AWARE, IOWATER Workshops, and the lowa State Fair) per year.	FFY3013 Statewide educational efforts:  1) Project AWARE, the DNR-led annual weeklong river cleanup event, took place on the East and West Fork Des Moines River near Algona to Ft. Dodge July 6-13, 2013. The event included educational presentations about water quality. A DNR article summarizing the event was sent to newspapers across lowa. For a summary of the 2013 event:  http://www.iowadnr.gov/Recreation/CanoeingKayaking/ProjectAWARE
	<ol> <li>The lowa Learning Farms Project, administered by ISU Extension, conducted a series of educational field days, webinars, and conservation station events across lowa, which informed and educated lowans about water quality issues. For a summary of 2013 activities: http://www.extension.iastate.edu/ilf/</li> <li>The lowa State Fair, an annual weeklong event held in Des Moines in August, included program efforts by lowa DNR to inform and educate lowans about water quality issues. For a summary of 2013 DNR activities at the lowa State Fair:</li> <li>http://www.iowadnr.gov/InsideDNR/SocialMediaPressRoom/IowaDNRattheStateFair.aspx</li> </ol>
Objective 10: Work with each Section 319-funded project to hold at least one project field day event annually for the duration of the project.	<u>Completed</u> – Each active Section 319 project organized at least 1 field day during FFY2013. Many of the field days focused on the recent surge in interest of cover crops.
Objective 11: Develop and implement a water quality educational campaign targeted to Iowa children in grades K-12 by 2014.	The Water Rocks! Project, a project led by Iowa State University, in 2013 developed and began implementing a water quality educational campaign targeting Iowa children in grades K-12. The project developed an online watershed game, music videos, and video advertisements to educate youth about water quality issues in Iowa. For a summary of 2013 activities: <a href="http://www.waterrocks.org/">http://www.waterrocks.org/</a>
Objective 12: Develop a water quality education campaign targeted to lowa adults within 5 years.	The water quality educational campaign will be started after the baseline water quality survey is completed. The DNR Watershed Improvement Section and DNR Communications continued to educate lowa adults in 2013 about water quality issues through EcoNewsWire, a DNR electronic press

release distributed to media outlets statewide about water quality and environmental issues.	
release distributed to media outlets statewide about water quality and environmental issues.	10
access 2013 EcoNewsWire articles:	
http://www.iowadnr.gov/InsideDNR/SocialMediaPressRoom/NewsReleases/EcoNewsWire.aspx	

## **GOAL 3 SCIENCE-BASED PERFORMANCE MEASURES:**

**Objective 3.1:** Encourage greater public participation in the monitoring and evaluation of water quality best management practices. (Lead Agency – DNR)

Action Steps / Implementation Strategies	Comp. Date	Desired Outcomes / Success Indicators
1. Complete migration of STORET data to EQuIS to	2014	Increased availability of data/User
facilitate increased accessibility and usability of		preference surveys indicate increased
DNR data.		user satisfaction and ease of use.
Progress or Accomplishments		
Migration was completed in 2013.		
2. Develop standardized protocols for data sharing	2013	Increased availability of non-DNR
(agencies, volunteers, NGOs, private entities).		data/increased use of non-DNR data in watershed planning and evaluation efforts.
Progress or Accomplishments		
Initial discussion occurred during 2013 regarding so between divisions of the DNR.	ftware er	nhancements for sharing of biological data
3. Develop on-line customized reports and/or	2013	Reduced effort to download and
graphical output of data using easily understood		synthesize data for user/increased user
language for HUC12 or smaller watersheds.		satisfaction and reduced labor needed
		to produce reports or graphs.
Progress or Accomplishments		
In 2013, watershed monitoring reports were gener		
projects. These reports will be discussed at the water		
4. Develop an IOWATER training module that	2014	Increased capability to develop water
trains volunteers/citizens/others on how to		monitoring plans and QAPPs/increased
develop water quality monitoring plans and quality		number of watershed plans derived
assurance project plans.		locally.
Progress or Accomplishments		
No progress		
5. Develop IOWATER or other training module on	2014	Increased capability of use in basic

the use of hand-held monitoring equipment including quality assurance procedures for use of the equipment (calibration, etc.)		monitoring equipment/improved quality from hand-held meters.	data
Progress or Accomplishments No progress			
6. Develop training on the interpretation and analysis of monitoring data for citizens/volunteers/others.	\ \ (	Improved data evaluation assessment/increase in the numb volunteers/citizens/others with capability of effectively anal monitoring data.	and er of the yzing
Progress or Accomplishments No Progress	·		

Objective 3.2: Develop local natural resource goals with targeted solutions to meet watershed needs. (Lead Agency – DSC, DNR)

Action Steps / Implementation Strategies	Comp. Date	Desired Outcomes / Success Indicators
1. Generate basic state-wide watershed data at the HUC 12 scale.	2014	Compile all information into a database which can be provided directly to watershed groups and/or made available through a web-based system.
Progress or Accomplishments		

Basic state-wide information at the HUC 12 scale is available to the public through the web-based "Watershed Atlas" hosted on the lowa Department of Natural Resources website: http://programs.iowadnr.gov/ims/website/water monitoring/viewer.htm. Information available includes but is not limited to: HUC 12 watershed boundaries state-wide; soil types and associated characteristics such erodibility and soil loss expressed in tons/acres/year; location of streams and other water bodies along with showing the location of any impaired waters.

2. Utilize existing tools for the purpose of	2014 / Baseline HUC12 data available for local
providing HUC 12 watershed scale information	up- groups and individuals to assist in the
which is easily understood and readily available to	date prioritization process.
local agencies and groups.	

## **Progress or Accomplishments**

Groups or individuals may directly access basic HUC 12 information by utilizing the web-based Watershed Atlas described in Step #1. In addition, groups and individuals may request additional assistance in gathering information by contacting the local Natural Resources Conservation Service/Soil and Water Conservation District field office or by contacting the Iowa Department of Natural Resources or the lowa Department of Agriculture and Land Stewardship directly. All these organizations work cooperatively to provide requested data and support through the use of GIS mapping tools and through local "in the field" support provided by the field office staff or the Regional Basin Coordinators. The Regional Basin Coordinators provide individual watershed assessment assistance to local groups interested in more detailed information about currently watershed conditions.

3. Provide local groups with necessary assessment	On-	All groups utilizing the available tools for	
tools to assist in the information gathering	going	assessment purposes.	
process.			

#### **Progress or Accomplishments.**

Local groups may request assistance with watershed assessment activities by contacting the local

Natural Resources Conservation Service/Soil and Water Conservation District field office or by contacting the Iowa Department of Natural Resources or the Iowa Department of Agriculture and Land Stewardship directly. Once contact has been made, the Regional Basin Coordinators provide guidance to the group during the assessment process. Assessment tools made available to the group include the use of a Table Computer and a RASCAL Unit for the purpose of field-level data collection and compilation. The field data collected is used through GIS to produce watershed scale maps which highlight the priority areas within the watershed based on resources concerns and the current fieldlevel assessment data collected. The assessment data may also be used to provide more farm and/or field specific information through the use of the Pollutant Reduction Calculator. The Calculator uses the data to provide information on soil loss per acre as well as sediment delivery, phosphorus delivery, and nitrogen delivery from a field location to the water body that is of concern. It also can provide data relative to gallons of storm water in more urban settings. The Calculator establishes base-line values for an area and then can be used to show reductions in pollutants from this area based on different bmps that can be installed to address the concern. This allows the local group to decide the best options available based on the bmp installed vs pollutant reduction associated with the practice. Currently, all local groups that request assistance in watershed assessment activities are provided with guidance provided by the Regional Basin Coordinators and the tools described above.

Baraaries protraca sy the regional sacrification		
4. Work with all interested local groups to develop a matrix of local resource concerns which can be utilized in the process of identifying priority watersheds.	2016	In 5 years, at least 50 – 60% of the groups using this format for prioritizing watersheds.
Progress or Accomplishments		
No progress to report at this time.		
5. Follow-up with any local partners that may not	2017	Receive responses from at least 50% of
have participated in the prioritization process to		the groups contacted.
allow for their input.		
Progress or Accomplishments		
No progress to report at this time.		
6. Identify desired end results and utilize this	2017	At least 50% of the Watershed Groups
information to set watershed goals and determine		establishing agreed upon watershed
practices needed to achieve desired results.		goals and appropriate practices and
		then prioritizing local available funding

		toward achieving the goals.
Progress or Accomplishments		
No progress to report at this time.		
7. Utilize the local Soil and Water Soil and Water	2017	Establishment of a local Watershed
Conservation District to lead the process of		Groups across the State that represents
recruiting members and organizing the Watershed		all concerned groups and individuals in
Group.		each watershed.

## **Progress or Accomplishments**

No progress to report at this time.

**Objective 3.3:** Utilize long-term research projects, including monitoring, funding, and alternative management practices to confirm post-project results of demonstration projects. (Lead Agency – ISU)

Action Steps / Implementation Strategies		Desired Outcomes / Success Indicators
	Date	
1. Inventory long-term studies in Iowa.		
2. Contact project leaders and identify needs		
(Funding, support, etc.).	On-	Long-term projects will be funded,
3. Seek funds, support as needed.	going	supported, continued, and reported (1-5
4. Request periodic reports.		action steps).
5. Publish results.		

## Progress or Accomplishments

The long-term studies in lowa have been inventoried. The list includes eleven project leaders, 440.2 acres, 36 distinct sites, 27 different projects and 3,376 plots. The oldest sites/studies date to 1915 and 1954. The studies are in 12 different lowa counties.

Objective 3.4: Place greater focus on up-scaling small-plot research to watershed scale.(Lead Agency – ISU)

Action Steps / Implementation Strategies		Desired Outcomes / Success Indicators
	Date	
1. Use plot research to calibrate and/or		Published papers in peer reviewed
parameterize watershed shed level models that		journals and proceedings of Iowa based
address management impacts on water quality.		conferences.
Progress or Accomplishments		

Funded research project under Iowa Nutrient Research Center to scale-up plot work to watershed scale. Initiate in 2014 In the past 12 months more than 30 presentations have been delivered to agribusiness professionals and farmers on practices that can be used to reduce nutrient movement to downstream water bodies – this has reached greater than 2500 stakeholders. Plot level research is being shared with watershed models to improve predictive capacity. This includes supplying field research data on the impacts of land management practices on nitrate-N losses in subsurface drainage 2. Engage producers to increase adoption of 2017 Presentation outcomes of to practices showing promise for improving water stakeholders and development extension materials for promoting quality. favored practices. **Progress or Accomplishments** Will work with farmers in priority watersheds to implement BMPs and measure water impact.

**Objective 3.5:** Establish uniform practices and protocols for monitoring that can be applied to watershed needs. (Lead Agency – DNR)

Action Steps / Implementation Strategies	Comp. Date	Desired Outcomes / Success Indicators
1. Compile current practices and protocols for monitoring in lowa and identify limitations or barriers to their use.	2014	Decision making chart for monitoring that includes modules specific to pollutants and helps identify the appropriate monitoring to meet watershed objectives. More watershed groups are able to adopt and implement the decision making tool.
Progress or Accomplishments No progress at this time.		
2. Identify emerging technologies that can be used.	2014	Emerging technologies identified.
Progress or Accomplishments No progress at this time.		

3. Develop precipitation and flow monitoring	2014	Precipitation and flow monitoring
protocols for implementation in the watershed.		protocols are developed.
Progress or Accomplishments		
No report at this time.		
4. Develop protocols for gathering, managing, and documenting landowner inputs for a watershed. Establish protocols to ensure privacy for the information collected. Identify current methods in lowa for tracking inputs to a watershed and limitations or barriers to those methods. Identify methods that other states use for tracking watershed inputs and evaluate their applicability for watersheds in lowa.	2015	Guidance for gathering input information for watersheds. More of this information is being gathered for watersheds.
The Community Assessment Project, a DNR project		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
gathering, managing, and documenting landowner efforts. The Community Assessment toolbox was coavailable at: <a href="http://www.extension.iastate.edu/ilf/coapation.gov/">http://www.extension.iastate.edu/ilf/coapation.gov/</a>	r and co ompleted	mmunity support for watershed project difference by local watershed groups and is
gathering, managing, and documenting landowned efforts. The Community Assessment toolbox was co	r and co ompleted	mmunity support for watershed project for use by local watershed groups and is vatershed-based-community-assessments  Develop recommended post-project monitoring guidance. Post-project monitoring is conducted at a majority of
gathering, managing, and documenting landowned efforts. The Community Assessment toolbox was considered available at: <a href="http://www.extension.iastate.edu/ilf/content/">http://www.extension.iastate.edu/ilf/content/</a> 5. Establish post project monitoring schemes to evaluate long-term success of improved water quality in a watershed.	r and co ompleted ontent/w	mmunity support for watershed project of for use by local watershed groups and is watershed-based-community-assessments  Develop recommended post-project monitoring guidance. Post-project
gathering, managing, and documenting landowned efforts. The Community Assessment toolbox was contained at: <a c<="" comparison-iastate.edu="" href="http://www.extension.iastate.edu/ilf/community/www.extension.edu/ilf/community/www.extension.edu/ilf/community/www.extension.edu/ilf/community/www.extension.edu/ilf/community/www.extension.edu/ilf/community/www.extension.edu/ilf/community/www.extensi&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;r and co&lt;br&gt;ompleted&lt;br&gt;ontent/w&lt;br&gt;2016&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;mmunity support for watershed project of for use by local watershed groups and is watershed-based-community-assessments.  Develop recommended post-project monitoring guidance. Post-project monitoring is conducted at a majority of the watersheds.&lt;/td&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;td&gt;gathering, managing, and documenting landowned efforts. The Community Assessment toolbox was considered as:  &lt;a href=" http:="" ilf="" td="" www.extension.iastate.edu=""><td>r and co ompleted ontent/w 2016</td><td>mmunity support for watershed project for use by local watershed groups and is vatershed-based-community-assessments  Develop recommended post-project monitoring guidance. Post-project monitoring is conducted at a majority of the watersheds.</td></a>	r and co ompleted ontent/w 2016	mmunity support for watershed project for use by local watershed groups and is vatershed-based-community-assessments  Develop recommended post-project monitoring guidance. Post-project monitoring is conducted at a majority of the watersheds.
gathering, managing, and documenting landowned efforts. The Community Assessment toolbox was considered as available at: <a href="http://www.extension.iastate.edu/ilf/co">http://www.extension.iastate.edu/ilf/co</a> 5. Establish post project monitoring schemes to evaluate long-term success of improved water quality in a watershed.  Progress or Accomplishments Post project monitoring needs will be evaluated at the Monitoring and Assessment staff.	r and coompleted ontent/w 2016  he end or	mmunity support for watershed project for use by local watershed groups and is watershed-based-community-assessments  Develop recommended post-project monitoring guidance. Post-project monitoring is conducted at a majority of the watersheds.  f watershed projects with DNR Watershed
gathering, managing, and documenting landowner efforts. The Community Assessment toolbox was considered as available at: <a href="http://www.extension.iastate.edu/ilf/co">http://www.extension.iastate.edu/ilf/co</a> 5. Establish post project monitoring schemes to evaluate long-term success of improved water quality in a watershed.  Progress or Accomplishments Post project monitoring needs will be evaluated at the Monitoring and Assessment staff.  6. Survey cooperators/producers pre- and post-	r and coompleted ontent/w 2016  he end of On-	Develop recommended post-project monitoring guidance. Post-project monitoring is conducted at a majority of the watershed projects with DNR Watershed.  Survey documents changes in
gathering, managing, and documenting landowned efforts. The Community Assessment toolbox was considered available at:		

adopted within the watershed.		decision making process.	
-------------------------------	--	--------------------------	--

## **Progress or Accomplishments**

lowa Learning Farms conducted producer evaluations at each of the field days, webinars, and and other events it hosted in 2013. Evaluations are available from the lowa Learning Farms.

For the Water Quality Initiatives for Small Feedlot Project, ISU developed a pre- and post- survey of producers who attended the small beef feedlot and small dairy open lot field day in late 2013. The survey will be conducted during the winter of 2013-14.

No survey data is available from other watershed project surveys in 2013.

Objective 3.6: Adopt system-based implementation and monitoring strategies versus practice-based approaches. (Lead Agencies (DSC, DNR)

Action Steps / Implementation Strategies	Comp.	Desired Outcomes / Success Indicators
	Date	
1. Encourage conservation agencies to prioritize	2014	Identified priority watersheds and
watersheds and resource concerns, similar to an		resource concerns.
MRBI approach.		
Progress or Accomplishments		
DNR and IDALS DSC worked with the NRCS State	Technic	al Committee to recommend that three
Section 319 watersheds be selected as the 2013 NWQI watershes. The State Technical Committee		
recommended and NRCS adopted the recommendation. Through the 2013 NWQI, over \$1.2 million in		
additional EQIP funding was allocated to landowners in the three watersheds.		

additional EQIP funding was allocated to landowners in the

2. Develop and implement ranking criteria to prioritize resources to projects which target practice placement within a system-based strategy for water quality improvement. Projects which adopt the "avoid, treat, and trap" approach will be

Ranking criteria is developed and used to score watershed improvement grants.

### **Progress or Accomplishments**

given higher priority.

NRCS and the State Technical Committee establish ranking criteria for EQIP and other NRCS financial assistance program to target practice placement for water quality improvement. Section 319-funded watershed projects establish criteria to target practice placement in priority areas identified in their watershed management plans.

3. Increase number of trained consultants to work with producers to implement conservation systems. Staff should develop relationships with producers and follow-up to evaluate actual outcomes and adapt accordingly.

On- going technical assistance to producers to improve water quality conditions.

## **Progress or Accomplishments**

NRCS worked in 2013 with consultants and service providers to work with producers to implement lowa's new nutrient management (590) practice standard. For more information: http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/ia/water/?cid=nrcs142p2 008195

The ISU Manure Management Action Group provided training in 2013 to consultants and producers on

manure application education and certification:		
http://www.agronext.iastate.edu/immag/		
4. Work with Iowa State, NRCS, SWCD, IDALS,	2015	Utilize a consistent, comprehensive, and
private agronomists, and neighboring states to		organized set of management
implement a consistent, comprehensive, and		recommendations to cover a broad set
organized set of management recommendations		of agricultural systems.
to cover a broad set of agricultural systems,		
including but not limited to nutrients.		
Progress or Accomplishments		
The Iowa Nutrient Reduction Strategy (NRS), release	ed in <b>201</b> 3	B by IDALS, DNR, and ISU, included a set of
management practices and recommendations for	reducting	nutrient loading to Iowa waters. The
above agencies provided outreach to agronomist	s and pro	oducers in 2013 on the NRS. For more
information:		
http://www.nutrientstrategy.iastate.edu/		
5. Develop and implement monitoring strategies	On-	
	J	Multi-scale monitoring plans that
at various scales within watershed project areas.	going	Multi-scale monitoring plans that capture sufficient information to
at various scales within watershed project areas. For example, field level, tributary and main stream		9 .
· ·		capture sufficient information to
For example, field level, tributary and main stream		capture sufficient information to evaluate water quality conditions and
For example, field level, tributary and main stream or lake. Monitoring should include flow	going	capture sufficient information to evaluate water quality conditions and
For example, field level, tributary and main stream or lake. Monitoring should include flow monitoring to determine pollutant load transport.	going	capture sufficient information to evaluate water quality conditions and
For example, field level, tributary and main stream or lake. Monitoring should include flow monitoring to determine pollutant load transport. Monitoring should also be set in such a way to	going	capture sufficient information to evaluate water quality conditions and
For example, field level, tributary and main stream or lake. Monitoring should include flow monitoring to determine pollutant load transport. Monitoring should also be set in such a way to capture event and base flow conditions.	going strains strains	capture sufficient information to evaluate water quality conditions and trends.

related to the impairment of the waterbody (lake or stream) to be improved and, where appropriate, to incorporate flow monitoring and/or subwatershed monitoring. Monitoring results from 2013 will be

shared with watershed projects at their annual meetings in early 2014.

**GOAL 3: SCIENCE-BASED PERFORMANCE MEASURES:** A major component of water quality professionals remains the need for science-based performance measures, which lays the foundation for understanding water quality problems and how to effectively remediate them.

Section 319 Program	short- and long-term goal	ls, objectives and strategies:
	5.15.1 G.16.15.16 t5.11.1 65 G.	,,

Section 319 Program short- and long-t	erm goals, objectives and strategies:
	2013 Progress
Objective 1: Evaluate and track progress annually on the implementation of each EPA-approved Watershed Management Plan.	Progress in implementing watershed management plans was tracked through annual watershed project review meetings in 2013 and through annual pollutant load reduction calculations reported by watershed project coordinators.
Objective 2: Transition to developing Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) using a rotating basin approach, within 3 years.	A straw man document for implementing a rotating basin strategy for the TMDL program has been drafted. This concept document has been through initial vetting with the Watershed Monitoring and Assessment Section, resulting in suggestions for further refinement. The TMDL Program is currently working with the WMA Section to further develop and refine the strategy with a goal of being able to implement the monitoring phase of the strategy beginning in WY2015, with subsequent TMDL development to begin in WY2016 or WY2017.
Objective 3: Develop 4 lake TMDLs per year, or develop 20 lake TMDLs within 5 years.	In FFY 2013, two lakes were submitted and approved by EPA (Casey Lake and Hannen Lake). Several lake TMDLs were initiated in FFY 2013 and will likely be submitted to EPA in FFY 2014 including Little River Lake, Volga Lake, Upper Pine Lake, Otter Creek Lake, Central Park Lake, and Beaver Lake. The list of pending and approved TMDLS is maintained on the DNR Watershed Improvement website: <a href="http://www.iowadnr.gov/Environment/WaterQuality/WatershedImprovement/WatershedResearchData/WaterImprovementPlans/PublicMeetingsPlans.aspx">http://www.iowadnr.gov/Environment/WaterQuality/WatershedImprovement/WatershedResearchData/WaterImprovementPlans/PublicMeetingsPlans.aspx</a>
Objective 4: Complete TMDLs for all 2002 listed impaired waters (category 5a) by 2016.	For 2002-listed impaired waters that are still on Iowa's 303(d) list, TMDLs have been completed for all impaired waters except for five lakes, and two streams / rivers as follows:  Backbone Lake, Bacteria; Bob White Lake, Bacteria; Windmill Lake, Algae; Lake Manawa, Turbidity; Browns Lake, Turbidity; Iowa River, Bacteria; Chariton River, Low DO  *Note: 3 impairments for Arsenic (2 on the Mississippi and 1 on the Missouri) are not considered priorities for the State of Iowa and will not have TMDLs completed.
	For more information: <a href="http://www.iowadnr.gov/Environment/WaterQuality/WaterMonitoring/ImpairedWaters.aspx">http://www.iowadnr.gov/Environment/WaterQuality/WaterMonitoring/ImpairedWaters.aspx</a>

Objective 5: Remove 5 water qu	ality
impairments within 5 years	for
waters currently listed as impa	ired
on the state Integrated Report.	

According to EPA's decision document for the 2012 Section 303(d) list, 79 impairments were de-listed for the 2012 Integrated Report cycle. Of those, there were 27 impairments removed from the list due to TMDL preparation & approval, 9 were removed due to restitution sought/received for fish kills, and 43 impairments were removed due to new data showing no impairment.

For more information, see the DNR impaired waters we bpage:  $% \label{eq:continuous} % \label{eq:cont$ 

http://www.iowadnr.gov/Environment/WaterQuality/WaterMonitoring/ImpairedWaters.aspx

Objective 6: Establish and conduct monitoring annually to track changes in water quality resulting from watershed improvement in Section 319-funded watersheds.

In 2013, monitoring plans were updated and monitoring was conducted in all 319-funded watersheds to track changes in water quality. Monitoring plans for each 319 project were evaluated by a team including staff from DNR Watershed Monitoring and DNR Watershed Improvement. The updated monitoring plans were established to monitor pollutants directly related to the impairment of the waterbody (lake or stream) to be improved and, where appropriate, to incorporate flow monitoring and/or subwatershed monitoring. Monitoring results from 2013 will be shared with watershed projects at their annual meetings in early 2014.

Objective 7: Provide analysis and interpretation of watershed-based water quality data annually to active Section 319-funded watershed groups to inform them and improve their understanding of progress towards reaching WMP goals.

In 2013, analysis and interpretation of water monitoring data was provided by DNR Watershed Monitoring staff or SHL to DNR Watershed Improvement staff and/or watershed project coordinators. Project water monitoring results were discussed at watershed project annual review meetings in 2013 and at other project events.

Objective 8: Provide analysis and interpretation of statewide water quality data annually to guide state and local groups.

In 2013, DNR maintained various water monitoring data on its webpage, including: STORET, the DNR fishkill database, the 305b water quality assessment database, an updated biological assessment database, the water quality index, and specific water monitoring program data. http://www.iowadnr.gov/Environment/WaterQuality/WaterMonitoring/Data.aspx



DNR also provided interpretation on Iowa's impaired waters, as follows:

Iowa DNR submitted its final 2012 Integrated Report, including Iowa's 2012 list of impaired waters, to U.S. EPA for approval on March 25, 2013. Iowa DNR received final approval of this submittal from U.S. EPA on April 24, 2013.

	Public comments were sought on Iowa's draft 2012 list from January 15, 2013 through February 28, 2013. Comments were submitted to IDNR by one government agency (U.S. EPA) and one environmental group. Iowa DNR prepared a summary of responses to these comments. Changes were made to the draft list based on the comments received. Iowa's final 2012 Section 303(d) list, as submitted to U.S. EPA on March 25, 2013, for review and approval, contained 480 waterbodies with a total of 642 impairments. This version of the list was approved by U.S. EPA on April 24, 2013. For more information on Iowa's 2012 Integrated Report: <a href="http://www.iowadnr.gov/Environment/WaterQuality/WaterMonitoring/ImpairedWaters.aspx">http://www.iowadnr.gov/Environment/WaterQuality/WaterMonitoring/ImpairedWaters.aspx</a>
Objective 9: Report on modeled annual pollutant load reductions for sediment, phosphorus, and nitrogen, in Section 319 priority watersheds.	In 2013, modeled annual pollutant load reductions for sediment, phosphorus, and nitrogen were reported for all active 319 watershed projects to EPA, and through project review meetings and reports. These are available on the GRTS database: <a href="http://iaspub.epa.gov/pls/grts/f?p=110:3000:15534017059537">http://iaspub.epa.gov/pls/grts/f?p=110:3000:15534017059537</a> :::::
Objective 10: Develop or adopt a tool to estimate annual pollutant load reductions from urban conservation practices within Section 319 priority watersheds by 2013.	DNR Watershed Improvement GIS staff worked with IDALS Urban Conservation Program staff in 2013 to develop a tool to estimate annual pollutant load reductions within Section 319 watershed project areas. The urban pollutant tool will be added to the new DNR web-based pollutant reduction calculator, which was completed in late 2013.
Objective 11: Digitally map all conservation practices installed in Section 319 priority watersheds by 2013.	In 2013, most conservation practices installed in Section 319 priority watersheds were digitally mapped on the EPA GRTS database for each watershed project. DNR will digitally map all conservation practices on the GRTS database in 2014.

# **GOAL 4 FUNDING:**

**Objective 4.1:** Prioritize existing public programs that support science-based measures identified in Objective 3.2. (Lead Agencies – ISU, DNR, NRCS,DSC, CDI)

Action Steps / Implementation Strategies	Comp. Date	Desired Outcomes / Success Indicators
1. Determine priorities based on stakeholder needs:	2014	
<ul> <li>a. Survey stakeholders to identify current needs and priorities.</li> </ul>		A Strategic Funding Plan that identifies and targets additional funding sources, and provides support that stakeholders
<ul> <li>Survey stakeholders to identify currently available funding sources. Determine if available funding is being used.</li> </ul>		can use to engage in securing needed funding.
<ul> <li>c. Develop a plan that identifies areas for additional funding, and provides an opportunity for stakeholders to promote and support funding efforts.</li> </ul>		
Progress or Accomplishments Including questions in Iowa Farm and Rural Life I practice adoption. Continue to work with water adoption.		
Evaluation of existing public programs:         a. Assess existing public programs to see if the correct programs and needs are being met, and the priorities are being addressed.	2014	Summary document that identifies current needs and priorities, and identifies available and needed funding to meet the needs and priorities.
<ul><li>b. Identify service and / or performance gaps.</li><li>c. Identify potential overlapping services between public entities (to avoid</li></ul>		
duplication of services).		

# **Progress or Accomplishments**

Existing public programs were reviewed as part of the NPSMP update and development of the lowa Nutrient Reduction Strategy. The Water Quality Initiative was developed in 2013 the lowa Legislature to provide additional nonpoint source funding for nutrient reduction practices, to fill a gap in financial assistance for nutrient reduction practices

Objective 4.2: Improve interaction among private sector groups to invest in NPS issues and solutions. (Lead Agencies – DSC, DNR)

Action Steps / Implementation Strategies	Comp. Date	Desired Outcomes / Success Indicators
1. Identify relevant NGO's in Iowa that deal with NPS issues and have each NGO identify how their work impacts NPS issues.		
2. Coordinate &/or support existing outreach efforts.	2013	
3. Inventory available private sector funding and existing barriers		
that may exist for funding.		Establish a defined network, designate a lead
4. Encourage the WRCC/WPAC to expand current membership to		coordinator, formal communication (i.e. list serve) &/or
host more stakeholder groups.		regular meetings established (1-6 action steps).
5. Target tailored messages based on identified local resource needs		
in coordination with WRCC & WPAC.		
6. Develop easy to understand financial assessment tools and	2015	
information to help translate benefits of conservation and clean		
water to profitability in the operation (return on investment,		
reduction of inputs, etc.).		

# **Progress or Accomplishments**

Several NGOs that deal with NPS issues have been identified, including Practical Farmers of Iowa, the Iowa Soybean Association, the Nature Conservancy, among many others. DNR has worked with the Iowa Soybean Association (ISA), the Nature Conservancy and other partners to conduct water monitoring, watershed planning, and to implement BMPs in the Boone River watershed to address nutrient loading in that watershed. NRCS, IDALS, ISU, CDI and DNR worked together with various NGOs in a major effort to educate farmers about cover crops and soil health in 2013. DNR worked with Ducks Unlimited and other NGOs in 2013 to restore wetlands, and with Trout Unlimited and other NGOs to restore coldwater troutstream segments in Iowa..

DNR has discussed in 2013 Iowa's NPSMP with various NGOs, including the Iowa Environmental Council (IEC), NGO members of the WRCC and WPAC, and, NGO members of the NRCS State Technical Committee.

IDALS, DNR, NRCS, ISU, and CDI have worked with various NGOs in 2013, including the ISA, IEC, and members of the WRCC and WPAC to discuss implementation of Iowa's Nutrient Reduction Strategy.

Private sector funding was used for various nonpoint source projects in 2013, but a comprehensive list of private sector funding has not yet been compiled.

7. Identify non-traditional partner groups (i.e. banks, corporations, public health, landowners).		
8. Identify what those entities currently invest in, what they would		At least 3 non-traditional partner groups identified;
invest in, and/or what information is needed to make investment	2016	Designate a lead coordinator; At least 3 messages /
decisions.		information pieces (i.e. fact sheets) developed for 3
9. Develop tailored information / messaging on the specific		different non-traditional partner groups (7-10 action
incentives to invest in NPS issues.		steps).
10. Engage the Iowa Economic Development Authority to support		
corporate investment.		
Progress or Accomplishments		•
The DNR Watershed Improvement Program began collaborating with	h the Iowa	Department of Transportation (DOT) in 2013 to target
stream mitigation efforts required to be completed by DOT to existin	g 319-fund	led watershed project areas. The goal of this effort is to
combine existing watershed improvement efforts with future stream	-	
water quality improvement.		
race quant, improvement )		

**Objective 4.3:** Create new or revise existing sources to allow for local groups to be more flexible in implementing and testing innovative approaches. (Lead Agencies – ISU, NRCS)

Action Steps / implementation Strategie	Action Steps	/ Implementation Strategies
---	--------------	-----------------------------

- 1. Regarding NRCS Interim Conservation Standard process:
- a. Inform researchers and State Technical Committee member organizations about the process to establish and utilize Interim Conservation Practice Standards.
- b. Encourage greater participation in the formal review and revision of existing NRCS Conservation Practice Standards to assure that the latest innovations are timely considered and implemented, upon approval.

#### **Desired Outcomes / Success Indicators**

A greater number of innovative approaches to address NPS water quality will be recognized by NRCS as Interim Conservation Practices Standards; a high percentage of the approaches evaluated are effective at protecting water quality and become eligible for NRCS cost share programs; additional conservation tools provide landowners more options, increase adoption of conservation practices, and water quality improves.

### **Progress or Accomplishments**

Arbuckle: In response to a request from IDNR staff Allen Bonini and Steve Hopkins, the 2013 Iowa Farm and Rural Life Poll contained questions designed to inform water quality improvement efforts. Question sets focused on farmer nutrient management behavior (practices and timing), primary sources for information on nonpoint source pollution-related farm management decisions, and perceptions regarding the importance of soil and water quality as issues in the state. Some of these data were published in the 2013 Iowa Farm and Rural Life Poll Summary, and a report on nitrogen management practices is forthcoming

- 2. Regarding farmers, resource managers & researchers:
- a. Encourage researchers to attend farmer meetings where water quality is discussed so they develop relationships with progressive farmers and managers; showcase Farmer-led Watershed Projects to better inform farmers, extension and researchers of innovative strategies for addressing water quality; publicize lowa Learning Farms activities, publications and website.
- b. Develop process for gathering input from farmers about innovative soil conservation and water quality

Researchers become more familiar with progressive farmers and the innovations that they have developed to protect water quality; researchers evaluate and monitor innovations based on farmer ideas and publish the results on effectiveness and costs; the additional conservation tools provide landowners more options and adoption of conservation practices increase and

practices and sharing the results with researchers; educate farmers, CCAs, industry and agency personnel on new research on innovative strategies and practices.

c. Establish cross-links between NRCS, IDALS DSC, DNR, ISU and CDI websites that describe innovative water quality strategies and practices.

water quality improves.

### **Progress or Accomplishments**

lowa Learning Farms, Practical Farmers of Iowa, ISU's Leopold Center ISU Extension, ISA, and other groups encouraged researchers to attend farmer meetings where water quality is discussed, to develop relationships with farmers and managers

- 3. Regarding Low Impact Development (LID):
- a. Develop new and utilize existing LID brochures, websites, and other outreach about funding programs to be distributed to the targeted audiences.
- b. Have agencies and LID practitioners attend trade association trade show of targeted audiences; expand LID educational programming at conferences for targeted audiences.
- c. Communicate LID research needs to the appropriate protect water quality. research community.

Urban planners, developers, civil engineers, and landscapers are more aware of BMPs regarding urban water quality; urban planners, developers, civil engineers, and landscapers are more aware of funding for LID practices to protect water quality; communities adopt a higher percentage of LID BMPs to protect water quality.

## **Progress or Accomplishments**

The IDALS Urban Conservation Program and Iowa Stormwater Education Program and utilized existing and developed new outreach materials in 2013 to promote LID in Iowa. For more information: http://www.iowastormwater.org/

http://www.iowaagriculture.gov/FieldServices/urbanConservation.asp

LID educational programming was incorporated as a primary track in the 2013 lowa Water Conference, and will also be a primary track in the 2014 lowa Water Conference: http://www.water.iastate.edu/event/iowa-water-conference-2014

LID research needs were communicated by the IDALS Urban Conservation Program in 2013 to DNR and ISU researchers to identify the need to develop more accurate percentages of compost used in

bioretention cells, bioswales, and rain gardens to reduce phosphorus transport from the LID practices. New recommendations will be developed in 2014.

**GOAL 4: FUNDING:** The support of public resources, such as the waters of the state, require resources, both public and private, to achieve positive results.

Section 319 Program short- and long-term goals, objectives and strategies:

	2013 Progress
Objective 1: Target at least 50% of	In 2013, more than 50% of Section 319 funds were targeted and awarded to support
Section 319 funds annually to	priority locally led impaired watershed projects.
support priority locally-led impaired	
watershed projects within the 6	
major river basins and 3 major river	
regions in Iowa, by 2013.	
Objective 2: Annually promote the	In 2013, 604b funding was used along with Section 319 funds to provide funding to
use of 604(b) funding for regional	regional watershed planning efforts through the development of WMAs.
watershed planning.	
Objective 3: Annually promote the	1
use of clean water SRF funds	within 319 priority watersheds to increase private investments to reduce nonpoint
statewide and within Section 319	source pollutants. For more information:
priority watersheds as a means to	http://www.iowasrf.com/program/other water quality programs/
increase private investments to	
address nonpoint source pollutants.	
	Also, new SRF program, the Water Resources Sponsored Projects Program, was
	created in 2013 to provide funding to reduce nonpoint source pollution within
	watersheds for communities with existing wastewater loans. The use of the new
	program was promoted by DNR and IDALS SRF staff and Basin Coordinators. New

	grants were recommended for approval in September of 2013. <a href="http://www.iowasrf.com/about_srf/news-37090/?sponsored_project_recommendations_approved&amp;show=news&amp;newsID=1751_9">http://www.iowasrf.com/about_srf/news-37090/?sponsored_project_recommendations_approved&amp;show=news&amp;newsID=1751_9</a> 9
Objective 4: Annually promote the use of USDA funding programs such as, EQIP, CREP, MRBI, etc., within Section 319 priority watersheds as a means to increase private investments to address nonpoint source pollutants.	In 2013, USDA funding programs were promoted within 319 priority watersheds to increase private investments to reduce nonpoint source pollutants. In addition, three Section 319 priority watersheds—Black Hawk Lake, Badger Creek Lake, and the Lower South Fork Chariton subwatershed of the Rathbun Lake watershed—were selected to received additional EQIP funding through the second year of the National Water Quality Initiative (NWQI). For more information about NWQI: <a href="http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2_005952.pdf">http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2_005952.pdf</a>
Objective 5: Annually document and report on the amount of dollars leveraged by Section 319 funds, including public and private investments, in Section 319 priority watersheds.	In 2013, the amount of funding leveraged by Section 319 funds, including public and private investements in priority watersheds, were reported to EPA through the GRTS database and through the Section 319 annual program report.